PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	RNATIONAL SEA		JANI Y	PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE		
To			:			
	see form	PCT/ISA/220				
	366 101111	1 0 1/10/ 1220			VAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
			,	(PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	licant's or agent's file			FOR FURTHER A		
			International filing date (dav/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
	International application No. International PCT/IT2004/000054 12.02.200			ouyo, ,	03.11.2003	
Inte	rnational Patent Clas	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC		
A6	1K7/32, A61K33/	04, D06M1 <i>I</i> 52				
App	licant					
SIC	CEM INDUSTRIA	LE S.P.A.				
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the fol	lowing items:		
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op				
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			is.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial		
	⊠ Box No. VI	Certain docum		3 Supporting Coon Start		
	☐ Box No. VII		s in the international ap	olication		
			ations on the internatio			
_						
2.	FURTHER ACT			to the small	Lucus like has considered to be a	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
		IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,				
	For further option	ns, see Form Po	CT/ISA/220.			
3.	DOTAS A DOS					





WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IT2004/000054

	Во	x N	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following aguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. ty	ype	of material:			
	ſ		a sequence listing			
	{		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. fo	orm	at of material:			
	(in written format			
	[in computer readable form			
	c. ti	me	of filing/furnishing:			
	[]	contained in the international application as filed.			
	1		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	()	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
4.	Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IT2004/000054

_	Вох	No. II	Priority	
1.	\boxtimes	The fol	lowing document has not been furnished:	
			copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).	
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
		Consec	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.	
2.		has he	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international attendicated above is considered to be the relevant date.	
3.	. Additional observations, if necessary:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IT2004/000054

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-11 13 14				
bed	because:				
\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-11 with respect to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	see separate sheet				
⊠	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 2-5 13 14 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
٠	see separate sheet				
\boxtimes	the claims, or said claims Nos. 3-5 13 14 are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
	the standard provided for in Anney				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
	•		does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	See separate sheet for further	deta	ils		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Box No. V industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-11 14-18

No: Claims

1 2 12 13 19

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

3-11 14-16 18

No: Claims

1 2 12 13 17 19

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

12-19

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and/or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

PCT/IT2004/000054

Re Item III.

- 1. Claims 1-11 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).
- 2. Moreover, if the claims are amended to e.g. a composition per se, then, present claims 3-5, 13 and 14 relate to a composition and method defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely a resin capable of stably fixing the active principle and to release it gradually in the course of time.

The claims cover all resins having this characteristic or property, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of PCT Article 6 and disclosure within the meaning of PCT Article 5 for only a very limited number of such resins.

In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible.

Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (PCT Article 6). Moreover, the subject-matter of claims 2 and 13 are defined by their intended use (see PCT guidelines 5.35). An attempt is made to define the method and composition by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible.

Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, as if claims 1-11 would relate to a composition per se, namely compositions comprising acrylic, silicone, butadiene or polyurethane resins.

Re Item V.

Remarks:

- a. For the sake of examination, it is assumed that claims 13-18 are composition claims depending of the composition claim 12.
- b. The examination of claims 3-5, 13 and 14 has been limited to the part as defined above (Item III)
- 1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199803

Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class B07, AN 1998-026920

XP002305423 &; JP 09 285483 A (KATO M) 4 November 1997 (1997-11-04)

D2: DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199743

Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class A11, AN 1997-466495

XP002305424 &; JP 09 217274 A (ASAHI KASEI KOGYO KK) 19 August 1997 (1997-08-19)

D3: DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 200135

Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class D21, AN 2001-329361

XP002305426 &; BR 9 903 830 A (CARVALHO MATTOSINHO J B) 24 April 2001 (2001-04-24)

- D4: US 4 260 660 A (MCCARTER ROBERT J) 7 April 1981 (1981-04-07)
- 2. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 12 and 19
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 12 and 19 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses sanitary pads comprising a nonwoven fabric bearing sulphur to prevent growth of athlete's foot bacteria (Tinea Pedis) and to deodorise foot odour. Document D2 also discloses antibacterial nonwoven-fabrics comprising colloidal sulphur for preventing athletes foot.
- 2.2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IT2004/000054

Document D3 discloses a capillary cream containing sulphur, vaseline and lanoline.

- 2.3 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 12 and 19 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D4 discloses textile materials which have been treated with a composition comprising sulphur and a latex chosen from acrylic type latex and butadiene rubber type latex (see example 3, lines 48-54).
- 3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 13 AND 17:

Dependent claims 2, 13, 17 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).